

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/045,930	FRYXELL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants: amended

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier. (3) _____.

(2) Douglas E McKinley, Jr.. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 June 2004 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

8.

Prior art documents discussed:

none.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

examiner proposed change to claim 8 to make the claim read more clearly as supported at page 5 of the instant specification. Applicants' representative authorized said change.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)